

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 IN RE: MIDLAND CREDIT  
11 MANAGEMENT INC. TELEPHONE  
12 CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT  
13 LITIGATION,

CASE NO. 11md2286 -MMA (MDD)

MEMBER CASE NOS:

15cv773-MMA (MDD)  
15cv774-MMA (MDD)  
15cv775-MMA (MDD)  
15cv776-MMA (MDD)  
15cv777-MMA (MDD)  
15cv783-MMA (MDD)  
15cv785-MMA (MDD)


16 **ORDER DENYING AS MOOT**  
17 **PLAINTIFF DAVID MACK'S**  
18 ***EX PARTE* MOTION FOR**  
19 **ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO**  
20 **FILE OPPOSITION**

[Doc. No. 238]

21 Plaintiff David Mack, proceeding *pro se*, filed an ex parte motion requesting  
22 an enlargement of time to file his opposition. Because Plaintiff timely filed his  
23 opposition brief [Doc. No. 237], his motion for an enlargement of time is moot.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25  
26 DATED: July 15, 2015

27 

28 Hon. Michael M. Anello  
United States District Judge